

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231. www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/888,670	06/25/2001	Gordon F. Ellis	00-104	8865
26471	7590 07/25/2002			
THE BOEING COMPANY			EXAMINER	
	707 M/C 13-08 WA 98124-2207		HERNANDEZ, OLGA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3661	
			DATE MAILED: 07/25/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	X		
	09/888,670	ELLIS ET AL.	4		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Olga Hernandez	3661			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ars on the cover snee	t with the correspondence at	iaress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, ma within the statutory minimum o vill apply and will expire SIX (6) cause the application to becom	by a reply be timely filed If thirty (30) days will be considered time MONTHS from the mailing date of this one BARANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 J	<u>une 2002</u> .	,			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.				
 Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under Indication of Claims 			ne merits is		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 4 is/are withdrawn fro	om consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on					
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	_ disapproved by the Examir	ier.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	-				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	urminor.				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	nriority under 35 H.S.	C & 119(a)-(d) or (f)			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 55 0.5.	0. 9 113(a)-(d) or (i).			
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		n Annlication No			
<u> </u>			Stage		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	ıl application).		
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti 	• •				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No e of Informal Patent Application (PT			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim 4 is withdrawn for any consideration, because it belongs to another species.

Claim Objections

Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities:

a) the abbreviations used in the claims should be defined at least in the first occurrence for each set of claims.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arjomand (5,884,202) in view of Smith et al (5,931,877).

As per claims 1-3, Arjomand teaches a central maintenance computer system (figures 1 and 6); an onboard maintenance terminal (figure 1); the onboard maintenance terminal linking faults to maintenance documentation (columns 7-8). Arjomand does not teach this applied to an aircraft. However, Arjomand teaches the same for land vehicles. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement what is old and well known for land vehicles to aircrafts in order to enhance the benefits of the aircrafts. Even though Arjomand does

Art Unit: 3661

not show how to collect maintenance information in real time; Smith does (column 12, lines 15-18). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine both references in order to provide immediate support to the system.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olga Hernandez whose telephone number is (703) 305-0918. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William A. Cuchlinski can be reached on (703) 308-3873. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Olga Hernandez Examiner

Art Unit 3661

WILLIAM A. CUCHLINSKI, JR. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600